

Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

MAY 2 7 1998

OCKFI FILL CUP ORIGINAL

JUN - 1 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Mayor Nancy J. Jorgenson Fridley Municipal Center 6431 University Ave., N.E. Fridley, MN 55432

Dear Mayor Jorgenson:

Thank you for your letter dated November 4, 1997, which was forwarded to us from the office of Senator Paul Wellstone, concerning the placement and construction of facilities for the provision of personal wireless services and radio and television broadcast services in your community. Your letter refers to three proceedings that are pending before the Commission. In MM Docket No. 97-182, the Commission has sought comments on a Petition for Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making filed by the National Association of Broadcasters and the Association for Maximum Service Television. In this proceeding, the petitioners ask the Commission to adopt a rule limiting the exercise of State and local zoning authority with respect to broadcast transmission facilities in order to facilitate the rapid build-out of digital television facilities, as required by the Commission's rules to fulfill Congress' mandate. In WT Docket No. 97-192, the Commission has sought comment on proposed procedures for reviewing requests for relief from State and local regulations that are alleged to impermissibly regulate the siting of personal wireless service facilities based on the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions, and related matters. Finally, in DA 96-2140 and FCC 97-264, the Commission twice sought comments on a Petition for Declaratory Ruling filed by the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association seeking relief from certain State and local moratoria that have been imposed on the siting of commercial mobile radio service facilities.

Because all of these proceedings are still pending, we cannot comment on the merits of the issues at this time. However, I can assure you that the Commission is committed to providing a full opportunity for all interested parties to participate. The Commission has formally sought public comment in all three proceedings and, as a result, has received numerous comments from State and local governments, service providers, and the public at large. Your letter, as well as this response, will be placed in the record of all three proceedings and will be given full consideration.

Mayor Jorgenson 2.

At the same time, the Commission is actively pursuing initiatives that we hope will render any Commission action limiting State and local authority unnecessary. Commission staff, working with the Commission's Local and State Government Advisory Committee, is bringing together representatives of industry and municipal governments to discuss mutually acceptable solutions to the challenges posed by facilities siting. Chairman Kennard has stated that preemption of local zoning authority should be a remedy of last resort, and that the Commission should not consider preemption until the possibilities for constructive dialogue have been exhausted.

Further information regarding the Commission's policies toward personal wireless service facilities siting, including many of the comments in the two proceedings involving personal wireless service facilities, is available on the Commission's internet site at http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/siting.

Thank you for your inquiry.

Sincerely,

shand Orthug

Steven Weingarten
Chief, Commercial Wireless Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

١

Copy to: The Honorable Paul Wellstone

cc: CWD
Dockets (2)
j:\congress\9801297

ļ

PAUL D. WELLSTONE

MINNEROTA TOLL FREE NUMBER: 1-800-642-6041

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-2303

COMMITTEES:

C 1 /A L

LABOR AND HUMAN RESOURCES

SMALL BUSINESS

INDIAN AFFAIRS

VETERANS' AFFAIRS

January 26, 1998

Dan Phythyon
Director, Office of Legislative and
Intergovernmental Affairs
Federal Communications Commission
Rm 808
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Director Phythyon:

The attached communication is for your consideration and whatever action, it any, you might deem appropriate. I would appreciate it if you would respond to Mayor Jorgenson directly regarding this matter. I would also appreciate it if you could send a copy of your response to me.

Thank you very much for your time.

Sincerely,

Paul David Wellstone United States Senator

PDW: mw

Enclosure



7515586

NANCY J. JORGENSON MAYOR

FRIDLEY MUNICIPAL CENTER • 6431 UNIVERSITY AVE. N.E. FRIDLEY, MN 55432 • (612) 571-3450 • FAX (612) 571-1287 November 4, 1997

The Honorable Paul Wellstone
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Wellstone:

We are writing you about the Federal Communications Commission and its attempts to preempt local zoning of cellular, radio and television towers by making the FCC the "Federal Zoning Commission" for all cellular telephone and broadcast towers. Roth Congress and the course have long recognized that zoning is a peculiarly local function. Please immediately contact the FCC and tell it to stop these efforts which violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution, and principles of Federalism.

In the 1996 Telecommunications Act, Congress expressly reaffirmed local zoning authority over cellular towers. It told the FCC to stop all rulemakings where the FCC was attempting to become a Federal Zoning Commission for such towers. Despite this instruction from Congress, the FCC is now attempting to preempt local zoning authority in three different rulemakings.

Telecommunications Act, with the sole exception that municipalities cannot regulate the radiation from cellular antennas if it is within limits set by the FCC. The FCC is attempting to have the "exception swallow the rule" by using the limited authority Congress gave it over cellular tower radiation to review and reverse any cellular zoning decision in the U.S. which it finds is "tainted" by radiation concerns, even if the decision is otherwise perfectly permissible. In fact, the FCC is saying that it can "second guess" what the true reasons for a municipality's decision are, need not be bound by the stated reasons given by a municipality, and does not even need to wait until a local planning decision is final before the FCC acts.

Some of our citizens are concerned with the radiation from cellular towers. We cannot prevent them from mentioning their concerns in a public hearing. In its rulemaking, the FCC is saying that if any citizen raises this issue, it is sufficient basis for a cellular zoning decision to immediately be taken over by the FCC and potentially reversed, even if the municipality expressly says it is not considering such statements, and the decision is completely valid on other grounds, such as the impact of the tower on property values or aesthetics.

Cellular Towers - Moratoria: Relatedly, the FCC is proposing a rule banning the moratoria that some municipalities impose on cellular towers while they revise their zoning ordinances to accommodate the increase in the numbers of these towers. Again, this violates the Constitution and the directive from Congress preventing the FCC from becoming a Federal Zoning Commission.

609615

, ne Honorable Paul Wellstone November 4, 1997 Page Two

to 45 days for municipalities to act on any local permit (environmental, building permit, zoning or other). Any permit request is <u>automatically deemed granted</u> if the municipality does not act in this time frame, even if the application is incomplete or clearly violates local law. And the FCC's proposed rule would prevent municipalities from considering the impacts such towers have on property values, the environment or aesthetics. Even safety requirements could be overridden by the FCC! And all appeals of zoning and permit denials would go to the FCC, not to the local courts.

This proposal is astounding when broadcast towers are some of the tallest structures known to man--over 2.000 feet tall--taller than the Empire State Duilding. The FCC claims these changes are needed to allow television stations to switch to High Definition Television quickly. But *The Wall Street Journal* and trade magazines state there is no way the FCC and broadcasters will meet the current schedule anyway, so there is no need to violate the rights of municipalities and their residents just to meet an artificial deadline.

These actions represent a power grab by the FCC to become the Federal Zoning Commission for cellular towers and broadcast towers. They violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution, and the principles of Federalism. This is particularly true given that the FCC is a single-purpose agency, with no zoning expertise, that never saw a tower it did not like.

Please do three things to stop the FCC:

- 1. Write new FCC Chairman William Kennard and FCC Commissioners Susan Ness, Harold Furchtgott-Roth, Michael Powell, and Gloria Tristani telling them to stop this intrusion on local zoning authority in cases WT 97-197, MM Docket 97-182, and DA 96-2140.
- 2. Join in the "Dear Colleague Letter" currently being prepared to go to the FCC from many members of
- 3. Oppose any effort by Congress to grant the FCC the power to act as a "Federal Zoning Commission" and preempt local zoning authority.

The following people at national municipal organizations are familiar with the FCC's proposed rules and municipalities' objections to them: Barrie Tabin at the National League of Cities (202-626-3194); Eileen Huggard at the National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors (703-506-3275); Robert Fogel at the National Association of Counties (202-393-6226); Kevin McCarty at the ILS Conference of Mayors (202-293-7550); and Uneryl Maynard at the American Planning Association (202-872-0611). Feel free to call them if you have any questions.

ļ

Sincerely,

Nancy J. Torgenso. Mayor

c: Fridley City Council See attached list.